

Nutritional score of meat products at retail in Serbia

Mladen Rašeta^{1*}, Ivana Branković Lazić¹, Boris Mrdović¹, Becskei Zsolt², Savić Mila², Mirjana Grubić³, Jelena Jovanović¹

Abstract: Nutri-score is simplified front-of-pack nutrition labelling on packed food products, used in a broad international context to categorize food products into five colour/letter grades (best A to worst E) that reflect the foods' nutritional quality. The labels serve as potential help for consumers to make healthier food choices and encourage food industries to improve the nutritional quality of the foods they produce. The aim of this study was to determine the nutritional scores and grades of meat products present on the Serbian retail market. Ultimately, this should point out to consumers the importance of proper nutrition and encourage the meat industry to adapt to new labelling requirements. During a two year period, 310 packaged locally-produced meat products were purchased at retail, graded according to the Nutri-score method and categorized into 13 ad hoc product groups. The results obtained showed that 82.5% of all examined meat products had nutritional scores that meant they were classified as unhealthy foods, while only 2.9% of meat products (these were fresh meat or minced meats) were classified as healthy foods. Of the total number of examined meat products, 41.5% were classified as grade E, 41% were classified as grade D, 13% received grade C, 1.6% received grade B, while only 2.9% were classified as grade A. Sodium chloride was an especially burdensome parameter in 10 groups (77% of all products examined), while the presence of saturated fat was troublesome in 7 groups (54%) and high energy balance in 2 groups (15%). Serbian manufacturers are advised to implement new formulations and/or procedures in an effort to reduce these parameters in the meat products they produce.

Keywords: nutritional score, meat products, nutrition labelling, sodium chloride, healthy food.

Introduction

Novel national legislation (Anonymous, 2018) requires a nutrition declaration on meat products placed on the Serbian retail market. In the European Union, labelling of nutritional parameters has been mandatory since 2011 (Anonymous, 2011). In 2008, following an evaluation of the legislation on food labelling by the European Commission's Directorate-General for Consumer and Health, the European Commission issued a proposal which would combine two major Directives (Directive 2000/13/EC1 and Directive 90/496/EEC2) into one Framework Regulation (Regulation 1169/2011) (Anonymous, 1990, 2000, 2011). Areas covered by the Regulation are, amongst others, nutrition information, origin labelling, legibility and allergen labelling. For prepacked foods, food business operators must have a nutrition declaration on their label, indicating the energy value and the amounts of fat, saturated fat, carbohydrate, sugar, protein and salt. The energy value and all nutrients declared must be expressed in absolute amounts per 100 g or 100 ml (Anonymous,

2013). They can also be expressed per package or per portion. Information on vitamins and minerals must, in addition, be expressed as a percentage of the nutrient reference values (NRVs), which can also be given in graphic form (Anonymous, 2011c). From December 2016, food in the European Union had to be labelled with this nutritional data. Since the actual nutritional value of food can vary in relation to the declared value, it is important to define and specify the average nutritional value of the product (Knezevic and Rimac-Brncic, 2014). Consumer groups and public health organisations have called for bans on the advertising of "unhealthy" food to children for several decades. However, the definition of unhealthy has been a topic of considerable argument. Food companies have resisted having any products described as unhealthy, but have gradually developed a number of different schemes that define products they believe are 'healthy' (or at least 'healthier') and appropriate for advertising to children. Health and consumer groups have called for a single scheme — or nutrient profiling model — consistent with international recommendations for

¹Institute of Meat Hygiene and Technology, Kacanskog 13, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia;

²University of Belgrade, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bulevar Oslobođenja 18, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia;

³Premium chicken d.o.o. Topolovacki put bb, 23210 Zitiste, Republic of Serbia.

*Corresponding author: Mladen Rašeta, mladen.raseta@inmes.rs



Figure 1. Nutri-score nutrition labelling scheme on the front food label at retail

preventing chronic disease and with national food-based dietary guidelines. Ideally, this is a simple system which could be applied to all products and with a clearly defined cut-off for defining which foods are not suitable for advertising to children (Rayner and Scarborough, 2009).

Front-of-pack nutrition labels are designed to help consumers evaluate the healthiness of foods and to promote healthier food choices (Hagmann and Siegrist, 2020). Since the beginning of the 20th century, the rise of unhealthy dietary habits has been a trend in many countries (WHO, 2003). Much of the disease burden worldwide, including cancers, cardiovascular disease and diabetes, could be reduced if people changed their behaviours, e.g. stopped smoking, reduced their alcohol intake, ate healthier diets and became more physically active (Diepeveen *et al.*, 2013). Policy makers have a variety of means at their disposal by which they can try to influence these behaviours, ranging from the provision of information to the public, through to measures that restrict choice by regulation (Anonymous, 2007).

The Nutri-score label is based on the United Kingdom (UK) Food Standards Agency's nutrient profiling system (NPS; original version: FSA-NPS, 2011), which evaluates the overall healthiness of a food product according to its nutritional composition (Anonymous, 2011b). The FSA-NPS was built with a perspective of preventing a large range of chronic diseases. It allocates a score to a given food/beverage from its content (per 100 g or 100 ml) of energy, saturated fat, sugar, sodium, dietary fibre, protein and fruit/vegetables/legumes/nuts. The model applies equally to all food and drink, there are no exemptions or category-specific criteria, and a judgment can be made as to whether the food should be placed under advertising restrictions (Anonymous, 2011a). It was initially developed and validated in the UK, where it has been used for advertising regulation (Arambepola *et al.*, 2008) and was transposed in France as FSAm-NPS (Julia *et al.*, 2014). To classify healthiness, the product's content of several

health-promoting (fruit, vegetables and nuts, fibre and protein) and critical nutrients (energy, saturated fat, total sugar and sodium) is evaluated. This results in a single NPS, which is then transformed into a colour-coded letter-based grade that is simple for consumers to understand (Figure 1). Studies of the NPS underlying Nutri-score, and comparative studies of the perception, understanding and use of various strategies for front-of-pack labelling, done between 2014 and 2017, concluded that the Nutri-score was superior to other formats (Julia and Herberg, 2017). The scientific evidence weighted heavily in the decision by health authorities to adopt the Nutri-score in France (Julia *et al.*, 2018).

Consumption of more food with higher FSAm-NPS Dietary Index (DI) scores, reflecting a lower nutritional quality of the food consumed, was associated with an increased risk of cancer (colorectal, upper aerodigestive tract and stomach, liver, and lung in men and breast in women) (Deschasaux *et al.*, 2019). A nutritional scoring system is helpful to consumers, because it encourages them to decide to purchase more adequately healthy foods (in Nutri-score, foods with these scores are graded as A or B). Consumption of food products with worse nutritional grades (D or E) was associated with a higher risk of development of chronic diseases and cancer in a large multinational European cohort. Since 31 October 2017, the Nutri-score front-of-pack labelling system (a synthetic information system based on colours and letters from green/A to red/E), allowing consumers to see and compare at a glance the nutritional value of pre-packaged foods, has been implemented on a voluntary basis in France (Anonymous, 2017).

Materials and Methods

A total of 310 packaged meat products, locally produced in Serbia and sold on the Serbian retail market, were examined over a period of two years. Meat products were in their original packaging,

taken from retail, and were classified by us into 13 *ad hoc* groups:

1. Boiled sausages finely ground
2. Boiled sausages coarsely ground
3. Boiled sausages with meat pieces
4. Cooked sausages and pâtés
5. Canned minced meat
6. Canned meat chunks
7. Smoked meat products
8. Dried meat products
9. Dried and semi-dried fermented sausages
10. Meat dishes and dishes with meat
11. Bacon and crackling
12. Meat preparations
13. Fresh meat and minced meat.

The sampled meat products, meat preparations and fresh meat were originally from domestic producers. On the basis of the information given in the nutrition declaration, the meat products were scored

according to Nutrient Profiling Technical Guidance (Anonymous, 2011a).

In brief, the model uses a simple scoring system where points are allocated on the basis of the nutrient content of 100 g of a food or drink. Points were awarded for category A nutrients (energy, saturated fat, total sugar and sodium; Table 1) and for category C nutrients (fruit, vegetable and nut content, fibre and protein; Table 2). The score for category C nutrients was subtracted from the score for category A nutrients to give the final nutrient score. Fibre content was calculated as shown in generic Table 2, using the AOAC reference method.

For category A nutrients, a maximum of ten points can be awarded for each nutrient. For category C nutrients, a maximum of five points can be awarded for each nutrient/food component. After obtaining the final nutrition scores, the values were compared with the cut-off values for the colour-coded food grades A to E (Table 3).

Table 1. Class A nutrient point scores, depending on the amount of each nutrient in 100 g or 100 ml of a food or drink

Points	Energy (kJ)	Saturated Fat (g)	Total Sugar (g)	Sodium (mg)
0	≤ 335	≤ 1	≤ 4.5	≤ 90
1	> 335	> 1	> 4.5	> 90
2	> 670	> 2	> 9	> 180
3	> 1005	> 3	> 13.5	> 270
4	> 1340	> 4	> 18	> 360
5	> 1675	> 5	> 22.5	> 450
6	> 2010	> 6	> 27	> 540
7	> 2345	> 7	> 31	> 630
8	> 2680	> 8	> 36	> 720
9	> 3015	> 9	> 40	> 810
10	> 3350	> 10	> 45	> 900

Table 2. Class C nutrient point scores, depending on the amount of each nutrient in 100 g or 100 ml of a food or drink

Points	Fruit, vegetables, nuts (%)	Fibre (g), measured as non-starch polysaccharides	Fibre (g), measured by the AOAC ^a method	Protein (g)
0	≤ 40	≤ 0.7	≤ 0.9	≤ 1.6
1	> 40	> 0.7	> 0.9	> 1.6
2	> 60	> 1.4	> 1.9	> 3.2
3	–	> 2.1	> 2.8	> 4.8
4	–	> 2.8	> 3.7	> 6.4
5	> 80	> 3.5	> 4.7	> 8.0

^a American Association of Oil Chemists

Table 3. Limit values of the nutritional score for determining the color-based grade to which a food belongs

Total nutritional score for solid foods	Total nutritional score for liquid foods	Colour and matching letter
To –1	0	Dark green – A
0–2	Minimum 1	Light green – B
3–10	2–5	Yellow – C
11–18	6–9	Light orange – D
19 and more	10 and more	Dark orange – E

Source: Nutri-score — The front of pack nutrition labelling scheme recommended in France (https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/animals/docs/comm_ahac_20180423_pres4.pdf)

If a food or drink scores 11 or more category A points, then it cannot score points for protein unless it also scores 5 points for fruit, vegetables and nuts. A food is classified as less healthy if it scores 4 points or more. Therefore, in terms of the presence of nutrients in 100 g or 100 ml, foods that are labelled green (A or B) are considered to be of better health quality, while foods that are labelled orange (D or E) are of lower health quality.

Results

Grouped results of the colour-coded food grades achieved by locally-produced meat products on the Serbian retail market are presented in Table 4.

The results showed that 82.5% of all examined meat products were classified as unhealthy foods, while only 2.9% of the meat products (and these were fresh meats and minced meats) were classified as healthy foods.

Table 4. Number of meat products in each nutritional grade for groups of meat products available at retail level in Serbia

Meat product group	Number of samples examined	Nutritional grade				
		A	B	C	D	E
Boiled sausages, finely ground	30				20	10
Boiled sausages, coarsely ground	30				8	22
Boiled sausages with meat pieces	20			5	15	
Canned minced meat	20			5	12	3
Canned meat chunks	30			11	19	
Smoked meat products	30		2	7	19	2
Dried meat products	20				10	10
Dried and semi-dried fermented sausages	30					30
Pâté and cooked sausages	20			1	12	7
Meat dishes and dishes with meat	20	1	1	9	8	1
Bacon and cracklings	30					30
Meat preparations	20			2	4	14
Fresh meat and minced meats	10	8	2			
Total	310	9	5	40	127	129
%	100	2.9	1.6	13	41	41.5

Table 5. Particularly burdensome nutritional parameters found in meat products available at retail in Serbia

Meat product group	Particularly burdensome nutritional parameters
Boiled sausages finely ground	Sodium chloride, saturated fat
Boiled sausages coarsely ground	Sodium chloride, saturated fat
Boiled sausages with meat pieces	Sodium chloride
Canned minced meat	Sodium chloride, saturated fat
Canned meat chunks	Sodium chloride
Smoked meat products	Sodium chloride
Dried meat products	Sodium chloride
Dried and semi-dried fermented sausages	Saturated fat, sodium chloride, energy
Pâté and cooked sausages	Saturated fat
Meat dishes and dishes with meat	Sodium chloride
Bacon and cracklings	Saturated fat, energy
Meat preparations	Saturated fat, sodium chloride
Fresh meat and minced meats	None detected

Particularly burdensome nutritional parameters that led to the classification of meat products as unhealthy food are presented in Table 5.

Particularly burdensome parameters significantly contributed to the formation of the final assessment of nutritional scores (data not shown) for meat products. For the 13 groups of examined meat products for which the nutritional score was determined, sodium/sodium chloride was an especially burdensome parameter in 10 groups (77%), while the presence of saturated fat was troublesome in 7 groups (54%) and a high energy balance in 2 groups (15%).

Discussion

Analysis of the nutritional score for meat products on the Serbian retail market shows that 82.5% of all examined meat products were classified as unhealthy foods, while only 2.9% of the meat products (and these were fresh meats or minced meats) were classified as healthy foods. Especially burdensome parameters for the nutritional scores were sodium chloride (77%), saturated fat (54%) and high energy balances (15%). Reasons for this classification of meat products as mostly unhealthy can lie in the nature of the foods themselves, if these are not intended to be consumed in quantities as large as 100 g per day (bacon, dried meat products, fermented sausages, pâté). However, for other meat products examined, recipe corrections will be needed in order to reduce burdensome nutrients. First of all, the need to

reduce sodium in meat products is evident, as many groups of meat products were classified as unhealthy foods precisely because of their high sodium content (boiled sausages, canned minced meat and meat chunks, smoked meat products, dried meat products, fermented sausages, meat dishes and meat preparations). A previous market study in Serbia also reported the need to reduce sodium in many groups of meat products (Lilic et al., 2017).

Among the additives used in the production of meat products, kitchen salt (sodium chloride) is the most commonly used, giving a desirable taste, improved texture and prolonged shelf-life. Salt is added to meat products primarily to produce the characteristic, necessary salty taste of meat products. The degree of sensory perception of salt depends not only on the percentage/ratio of salt to other product components, but also on the degree of hydration and quantity of water (Rašeta et al., 2013). Although sodium chloride is a very important food ingredient, it is commonly found in large quantities (%) in meat products (1.2–1.8% in cooked sausages, 1.8–2.2% in both finely and coarsely ground boiled sausages, 1.2–2.5% in canned minced meat, 2.4–3.0% in fermented sausages and 3.0–5.0% in dried meat products) (Vukovic, 2012). Daily consumption of meat products with high levels of sodium invokes increased blood pressure and consequent cardiovascular disorders in consumers. Therefore, there is an intense effort to reduce consumers' daily sodium intake (Lazic et al., 2015).

Saturated fat content was also a burdensome nutritional score parameter for 54% of our examined meat products, together with a high energy balance (15%). Daily energy intake from saturated fat should be in the range of 5–10% of all-body energy needs, while trans fatty acids (industrial and those occurring naturally in food) should contribute <1% of total daily energy needs (Spajic, 2020). Eating habits and nutritional values of foods play key roles in the prevention of major chronic degenerative diseases (Kant, 2010). Helping consumers make healthier food choices is a key issue for the prevention of cancer and other diseases. In many countries, authorities are considering implementing a simplified labelling system to reflect the nutritional quality of food products. However, although it would comply with the European Union labelling regulations, appending the Nutri-score on food product labels remains optional and, therefore, relies on voluntary uptake by food manufacturers (Deschasaux *et al.*, 2018). Nonetheless, a unique nutritional labelling system for all EU countries is expected to be implemented in the future (Deschasaux *et al.*, 2018).

Conclusion

The domestic meat industry in Serbia needs to make special, focused efforts to optimize the production of meat products, since 82.5% of the retail meat products were classified as unhealthy by the Nutri-score method. In doing so, the specifics of the type of meat product could be taken into account for some products (crackling, bacon, dried meat products, fermented sausages and pâté) that are not intended to be consumed in amounts as large as 100 g per day. Nonetheless, it is necessary to determine the nutritional score for every food based on the 100 g or ml set amount to enable consumers to compare different foods and improve their own food choices.

For meat products that have potential for nutritional score optimization (meat preparations, meat dishes, canned minced meat, canned meat chunks, boiled and cooked sausages), producers are advised to pay special attention to reducing levels of the particularly burdensome nutritional parameters, i.e., sodium chloride/sodium, saturated fat and the overall energy balance.

Nutritivni skor proizvoda od mesa na domaćem tržištu

Mladen Rašeta, Ivana Branković Lazić, Boris Mrdović, Becskei Zsolt, Savić Mila, Jelena Jovanović

A p s t r a k t: Nutritivni skor je pojednostavljeni sistem obeležavanja nutritivnog kvaliteta proizvoda od mesa, koji ih svrstava u pet kategorija sa odgovarajućom bojom i slovnom oznakom. Na ovaj način potrošači dobijaju dodatnu informaciju o nutritivnim svojstvima proizvoda od mesa koje kupuju, dok industrija dobija podsticaj da razvija kvalitetnije proizvode. Tokom dve godine ispitano je 310 uzoraka, od kojih je 82.5% klasifikovano kao „nezdravo” dok je samo 2.9% uzoraka koji su se odnosili na sveže i ustinjeno meso klasifikovani kao „zdravi”. Nutritivni skor proizvoda od mesa je pokazao da 41.5% proizvoda od mesa imaju ocenu E, 41% ocenu D, 13% ocenu C, 1.6% ocenu B, dok je svega 2.9% dobilo ocenu A. Posebno opterećujući nutritivni parametar za proizvode od mesa je visok procenat soli natrijuma (77%), zatim prisustvo zasićenih masti (54%) i visok energetske bilans (15%). Cilj ovog rada je da utvrđivanjem nutritivnog skora proizvoda od mesa potrošačima na domaćem tržištu ukaže na značaj adekvatnog izbora proizvoda od mesa sa aspekta nutritivnog kvaliteta, dok sa druge strane takve zahteve predoči industriji mesa.

Ključne reči: nutritivni skor; proizvodi od mesa, deklarisanje hrane, so, zdrava hrana.

Disclosure statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by authors.

Acknowledgements: This study was funded by grants TR 31083 and III 46009 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia.

References

- Anonymous (2018).** Rulebook on the declaration, labeling and advertising of food. *Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia*, 19/2017 and 16/2018.
- Anonymous (2017).** Journal Officiel de la République Française. Arrêté du 31 octobre 2017 fixant la forme de présentation complémentaire à la déclaration nutritionnelle recommandée par l'Etat en application des articles L. 3232-8 et R. 3232-7 du code de la santé publique. 2017. <https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/arrete/2017/10/31/SSAP1730474A/jo/texte>
- Anonymous (2013).** Guidance on the Provision of Food Information to Consumers, September 2013, Regulation (EU) No. 1169/2011 https://www.fooddrinkeurope.eu/uploads/publications_documents/FDE_Guidance_WEB.pdf
- Anonymous (2011).** Commission regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of 25 October 2011. Regulation on food information provided to the consumer.
- Anonymous (2011a).** Nutrient Profiling Technical Guidance, Department of Health UK, <http://www.dh.gov.uk/publications>
- Anonymous (2011b).** Food Standards Agency. (2011). Nutrient Profiling Technical Guidance. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-nutrient-profiling-model>
- Anonymous (2011c).** European Product Labelling Guide, Network enterprise Scotland <http://www.enterprise-europe-scotland.com/sct/assets/documents/uploaded/general/Product%20Labelling%20Guide.pdf>
- Anonymous (2007).** Nuffield Council on Bioethics: Policy process and practice. In *Public Health: ethical issues*. London: Nuffield Council on Bioethics; 2007 <http://www.nuffieldbioethics.org/sites/default/files/Public%20health%20-20ethical%20issues.pdf>
- Anonymous (2000).** Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the labelling, presentation and advertising of foodstuffs
- Anonymous (1990).** Directive 90/496/EEC of 24 September 1990 on nutrition labelling for foodstuffs
- Arambepola, C., Scarborough, P. & Rayner, M. (2008).** Validating a nutrient profile model. *Public Health Nutrition*, 11, 371–378. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980007000377>
- Chauliac, D. M.** The front of pack nutrition labelling scheme recommended in France. 12, https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/animals/docs/comm_ahac_20180423_pres4.pdf
- Deschasaux, M., Huybrechts, I., Murphy, N., Julia, C., Hercberg, S., Srouf, B., Kesse-Guyot, E., Latino-Martel, P., Biessy, C., Casagrande, C., Jenab, M., Ward, H., Weiderpass, E., Ferrari, P., Riboli, E., Gunter, M. & Touvier, M. (2019).** Qualité nutritionnelle des aliments définie par le score FSAm-NPS sous-tendant le logo Nutri-Score et risque de cancer en Europe: Résultats de la cohorte EPIC. *Nutrition Clinique et Métabolisme*, 33(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nupar.2019.01.229>
- Deschasaux, M., Huybrechts, I., Murphy, N., Julia, C., Hercberg, S., Srouf, B., Kesse-Guyot, E., Latino-Martel, P., Biessy, C., Casagrande, C., Jenab, M., Ward, H., Weiderpass E., Dahm, C. C., Overvad, K., Kyrø, C., Olsen, A., Affret, A., Boutron-Ruault, M. C., Mahamat-Saleh, Y., Kaaks, R., Kühn, T., Boeing, H., Schwingshackl, L., Bamia, C., Peppas, E., Trichopoulou, A., Masala, G., Krogh, V., Panico, S., Tumino, R., Sacerdote, C., Bueno-de-Mesquita, B., Peeters, P. H., Hjartaker, A., Rylander, C., Skeie, G., Ramón Quirós, J., Jakšczyn, P., Salamanca-Fernández, E., Huerta, J. M., Ardanaz, E., Amiano, P., Ericson, U., Sonestedt, E., Huseinovic, E., Johansson, I., Khaw, K. T., Wareham, N., Bradbury, K. E., Perez-Cornago, A., Tsilidis, K. K., Ferrari, P., Riboli, E., Gunter M. J. & Touvier, M. (2018).** Nutritional quality of food as represented by the FSAm-NPS nutrient profiling system underlying the Nutri-Score label and cancer risk in Europe: Results from the EPIC prospective cohort study. *PLOS Medicine*, 15(9), e1002651. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002651>
- Diepeveen, S., Ling, T., Suhrccke, M., Roland, M., & Marteau, T. M. (2013).** Public acceptability of government intervention to change health-related behaviours: A systematic review and narrative synthesis. *BMC Public Health*, 13(1), 756. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-756>
- Hagmann, D. & Siegrist, M. (2020).** Nutri-Score, multiple traffic light and incomplete nutrition labelling on food packages: Effects on consumers' accuracy in identifying healthier snack options. *Food Quality and Preference*, 83, 103894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.103894>
- Julia, C. & Hercberg, S. (2017).** Development of a new front-of-pack nutrition label in France: the five-colour Nutri-Score. *Public Health Panorama*, 3, 712–25.
- Julia, C., Etilé, F. & Hercberg, S. (2018).** Front-of-pack Nutri-Score labelling in France: An evidence-based policy. *The Lancet Public Health*, 3(4), e164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(18\)30009-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30009-4)
- Julia, C., Kesse-Guyot, E., Touvier, M., Mejean, C., Fezeu, L. & Hercberg S. (2014).** Application of the British Food Standards Agency nutrient profiling system in a French food composition database. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 112, 1699–1705. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514002761>
- Kant, A. K. (2010).** Dietary patterns: biomarkers and chronic disease risk. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 35(2) 199–206.
- Knezevic, N. & Brncic, S. R. (2014).** Oznacavanje hranjive vrijednosti na deklaraciji prehrambenih proizvoda. *Croatian Journal of Food Technology, Biotechnology and Nutrition*, 9 (1–2), 17–25.
- Lazic, I. B., Raseta, M., Nikolic, D., Lukic, M., Karan, D. & Lilic, S. (2015).** Reducing the Sodium Chloride Content in Chicken Pate by Using Potassium and Ammonium Chloride. *Procedia Food Science*, 5, 22–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profoo.2015.09.006>
- Lilic, S., Karan, D., Jovanovic, J., Babic, J., Borovic, B., Stefanovic, S. & Raseta, M. (2017).** Possibility of partial replacement of sodium chloride with potassium chloride to ammonium chloride in production of meatballs in tomato sauce. *Meat Technology* 58(1), 47–51.
- Raseta, M., Lazic, I.B., Vranic, D., Trbovic, D., Turubatovic, L., Jovanovic, J. & Lilic, S. (2015).** Salt content of poultry meat products. *Proceedings International 57th Meat Industry Conference, Meat and meat products — perspectives of sustainable production*, June 10th–12th, Belgrade, Serbia, pp 230–233

- Pongutta S., Chongwatpol P., Tantayapirak P. & Vandevijvere S. (2018).** Declaration of nutrition information on and nutritional quality of Thai ready-to-eat packaged food products. *Public Health Nutrition*, 21(8), 1409–1417
- Rayner, M., & Scarborough, P. (2009).** The UK Ofcom Nutrient Profiling Model. 11. <https://www.ndph.ox.ac.uk/cnpn/files/about/uk-ofcom-nutrient-profile-model.pdf>
- Spajic, G. D. (2020).** Strategies to achieve a healthy diet and health: reduction of trans fat and saturated fatty acids, Chapter 4. Agri-Food Industry Strategies for Healthy Diets and Sustainability — New Challenges in Nutrition and Public Health, Pages 103–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817226-1.00004-7>
- Vukovic, I. (2012).** Osnove tehnologije mesa, Veterinarska komora Srbije 4. izdanje, 125–126.
- WHO. (2003).** Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report of the joint WHO/FAO expert consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 916 (TRS 916). Geneva: World Health Organization.

Paper received: April 7th 2020.

Paper corrected: May 12th 2020.

Paper accepted: May 12th 2020.