



## What is meat in Serbia?

Igor Tomašević<sup>ab\*</sup>, Vladimir Tomović<sup>c</sup>, Nedjeljko Karabasil<sup>d</sup>, Nino Terjung<sup>b</sup>, Ilija Đekić<sup>a</sup> and Dorđević Vesna<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Nemanjina 6, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>b</sup> German Institute of Food Technologies (DIL), Quackenbruck, Germany

<sup>c</sup> University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Department of Food Preservation Engineering, Boulevard of Cara Lazara 1, Novi Sad, 21000, Serbia

<sup>d</sup> University of Belgrade, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Food Hygiene and Technology, Bulevar oslobođenja 18, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>e</sup> Institute of Meat Hygiene and Technology, Kačanskog 13, Belgrade, 11040, Serbia

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Quality  
Safety  
Welfare  
Environment

### ABSTRACT

Ensuring meat safety is a significant concern in Serbia, as in any country. To address this issue, the Serbian government has implemented several measures, including regular inspections of slaughterhouses and meat processing facilities and adhering to EU regulations on meat safety. These regulations stipulate that all meat products must meet stringent hygiene, storage, and labeling standards. In addition, consumers are advised to buy meat products only from trustworthy sources to safeguard their health. While the issue of meat safety in Serbia remains a concern, the government and consumers are taking steps to mitigate the risks associated with consuming meat products. Serbia's meat processing industry focuses on developing new, healthier products with "clean label" formulations and innovative packaging films. However, the welfare of animals during the slaughtering process has been a topic of concern among animal rights organizations. Although regulations exist to ensure the humane treatment of animals during the slaughtering process, enforcing these regulations has been criticized as inadequate. Efforts are being made to educate and enforce humane treatment, but much more work is needed to ensure that animals are treated with dignity and respect. From a research perspective, it is evident that the Serbian meat sector significantly impacts natural resources, especially water, and energy. The industry also pollutes the environment through wastewater discharge and contributes to climate change in terms of global warming, acidification, and eutrophication. Future research should focus on finding ways to minimize the environmental impact of the meat value chain.

## 1. Introduction

Meat has been an essential part of the Serbian diet for centuries. Serbian cuisine is known for its rich and hearty meat dishes, and meat consumption is deeply ingrained in the country's culture and traditions. Meat has been an integral part of Serbian cuisine since ancient times, and the preparation and consumption of meat dishes are deeply embed-

ded in the country's culture and traditions (*Baltic et al.*, 2018). Meat dishes such as "pljeskavica," "ćevapčići" (*Trbovic et al.*, 2021), "Pirotska peglana kobasica" (*Bogdanović et al.*, 2023), "Sjenički sudžuk" (*Ikonić et al.*, 2023) and "Uzice pršuta" (*Tomić et al.*, 2008) are some of the most popular and beloved foods in Serbia. These dishes are often prepared and served during special occasions and family gatherings, reinforcing the cultural signifi-

\*Corresponding author: Igor Tomasevic, [tbigor@agrif.bg.ac.rs](mailto:tbigor@agrif.bg.ac.rs)

Paper received April 21<sup>st</sup> 2023. Paper accepted May 1<sup>st</sup> 2023.

Published by Institute of Meat Hygiene and Technology — Belgrade, Serbia

This is an open access article under CC BY licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

cance of meat in the Serbian diet. The importance of meat is also evident in Serbian folklore and literature. Many Serbian folk tales and songs are centered around hunting and consuming meat, highlighting the cultural significance of meat as a source of sustenance and pleasure (Mijatović, 2008).

Meat production and consumption play a significant role in the Serbian economy. The country has a long history of livestock farming, and the meat industry is a vital part of the agricultural sector. In addition, producing and selling meat and meat products provide employment opportunities for many people in the country, contributing to the local and national economy. Serbia has a high per capita meat consumption rate, with an average of 85 kg per person per year, according to a report by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, 2019). This rate is significantly higher than the global average of 34 kg per person per year. The consumption of red meat, in particular, is widespread, with pork being the most popular, followed by beef and poultry. Serbia is known for its high-quality meat products, which consumers in countries worldwide seek after. As a result, the country's meat exports have grown significantly in recent years, with major markets including Russia, China, and the European Union (Mitic et al., 2018).

Serbia's meat production industry is dominated mainly by small and medium-sized farms, which often use traditional farming methods and raise livestock on pasture (Karabasil et al., 2018). However, larger commercial farms have emerged in recent years, which use more modern farming methods and technologies to increase efficiency and productivity. This has led to concerns about the impact of commercial farming on the environment and the welfare of animals raised for meat production (Đekić & Tomašević, 2017; Djekic et al., 2016; Skunca et al., 2018). In response to these concerns, the Serbian government has regulated and monitored the country's meat production industry, focusing on improving animal welfare and reducing the environmental impact of farming practices. In addition, the government has also encouraged the adoption of more sustainable and eco-friendly farming methods, such as organic farming and agroforestry (Nikolić et al., 2017; Živanović Miljković & Crnčević, 2019).

Therefore, this narrative review aims to provide a critical overview of previously published research on meat quality, meat safety, animal welfare, and the impact on the environment of the meat produced in the Republic of Serbia.

## 2. Materials and methods

We conducted a scoping review, performed using different databases named Scopus (www.scopus.com), Web of Science Core Collection –WOS (www.webofscience.com/), Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com), and Kobson (https://kobson.nb.rs). For grey literature identification, we used databases available at Google search (www.google.com). According to data availability, documents and other related information were surveyed, considering a 15-year gap from 2008 to 2023. Aggregative synthesis was used to analyse papers and documents.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Quality

Carcass quality (after bleeding and dressing) is regulated by the Regulation on the slaughter of livestock, poultry, and game (Serbian Regulation, 1974), the Regulation on the quality of slaughtered pigs and pork categorization (Serbian Regulation, 1985), and Regulation on the quality of poultry meat (Serbian Regulation, 1981). These acts also define significant meat cuts from the carcasses and minimum requirements for packaging, labeling, storage, and transporting the meat.

The population of domestic Simmental breed represents a dominant population of cattle in Serbia (more than 70%) as a breed of combined production traits. A particular emphasis has been given to the importance of organizing beef production from male calves in the cow-calf in an intensive system (Kučević et al., 2019). On the other hand, meat produced from small ruminants (sheep and goats) in Serbia is obtained mainly from young lambs, lambs, and kids. Meat sheep and goat farming systems are characterized by rearing autochthonous breeds (Pramenka and Tsigai sheep and Balkan and Serbian White goat) and using natural resources through grazing. Also, most lamb and kid meat come from male animals of dairy breeds (East Friesian sheep, Alpine, Saanen, and German fawn goat), which are kept in modern dairy farms (Tomović et al., 2016). Bearing in mind that genetics (breed) is one of the most critical factors affecting carcass and meat quality, genetic improvement of cattle, sheep, and goats in Serbia, i.e., replacement of traditional breeds by high-performance industrial breeds for meat, is necessary. Pig (pork meat) and poultry (broiler chicken meat) production systems have reached high-performance levels over the last two decades. Production system change refers to developments and innovations in

all aspects of meat production, from breeding, housing, feeding, and pre-slaughter handling to slaughter-line, chilling, and deboning time (Dokmanović *et al.*, 2014) (Leskovec *et al.*, 2019; Perić *et al.*, 2022; Tomović *et al.*, 2013; Tomović, Petrović, & Džinić, 2008; Tomović *et al.*, 2011). However, pale, soft, and exudative (PSE) meat was determined predominantly in pork and chicken meat (Popović *et al.*, 2019; Tomović *et al.*, 2014; Tomović *et al.*, 2013; Tomović *et al.*, 2008). Thus, the main objective for the economic consideration of pork and chicken meat production in Serbia primarily comprises the absence of PSE meat.

Meat product quality is regulated by the Regulation on the quality of minced meat, semi-finished meat products, and meat products (Serbian Regulation, 2019). Among other things, this act regulates the following: classification, categorization, and product name; physical, chemical, physicochemical, and sensory properties, as well as the product composition; physical, chemical, physicochemical, and sensory properties of raw materials, as well as the type and amount of raw materials, additives and other substances used in the processing; storage conditions; etc. Raw materials include meat, fat, connective tissue, offal, blood, and blood products, mechanical-

ly separated meat, and freeze-dried meat. Processing meat is defined as the skeletal muscle suitable for human consumption with naturally included or adherent tissue of domestic ungulates (Artiodactyla and Perissodactyla), bird and lagomorphs, and game species. This regulation does not apply to traditional meat products and products from fish, shellfish, sea urchins, sea cucumbers, frogs, turtles, and snails. The types and amounts of additives (preservatives, colours, emulsifiers, stabilisers, etc.) are regulated by the Regulation on food additives (Serbian Regulation, 2018). This act is fully harmonised with the European Union Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008). The quality and uses of other ingredients, such as salt, spices, aromas, sugars, starch, non-meat proteins, starter cultures, enzymes, etc., are described by special national regulations. Meat products were classified into 12 main groups. Certain meat products have been accredited with protected status. Any products using this name must comply with the compositional (what it contains) requirements. Some meat products must have a minimum amount of meat proteins, and some can only include certain types of meat and/or specific animal cuts. For example, the requirements for cooked sausages are summarised in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Requirements for cooked sausages

Sub-group	Product name	Type of meat	MP	TMP	CC in MP or CC in TP	Storage temperature
Finely chopped cooked sausages	‘Viršla’	Not specified	≥ 11%	≥ 11%	≤ 20% ≤ 10% (poultry)	0–4°C
	Frankfurter	Pork	≥ 11%		≤ 20%	
	Parisian sausage	Not specified	≥ 10%		≤ 20% ≤ 10% (poultry)	
	White sausage ‘Weisswurst’	Not specified	≥ 10%		≤ 20% ≤ 10% (poultry)	
	Other products	Not specified	≥ 10%	≥ 10%	≤ 25% ≤ 15% (poultry)	
Roughly chopped cooked sausages	Serbian sausage	Pork	≥ 16%		≤ 15%	
	Tyrol sausage	Not specified	≥ 12%		≤ 20% ≤ 10% (poultry)	
	Mortadella	Not specified	≥ 12%	≥ 12%	≤ 30%	
	Other products	Not specified	≥ 12%	≥ 12%	≤ 25% ≤ 15% (poultry)	
Cooked sausages with large pieces of meat	Ham sausage	Not specified	≥ 14%		≤ 15% ≤ 10% (poultry)	
	Other products	Not specified	≥ 14%	≥ 14%	≤ 20% ≤ 15% (poultry)	
Meatloaf	Meatloaf	Not specified	≥ 10%	≥ 10%	≤ 25% ≤ 15% (poultry)	

**Legend:** MP – minimum meat protein content; TMP – minimum total meat protein content; CC – maximum collagen content.

Novel technological developments include decreasing salt content, avoiding nitrite addition or partial replacement of nitrite, adding dietary fiber, probiotics, prebiotics, and other functional components, adding natural antioxidants and other bioactive ingredients, the substitution of saturated fats with unsaturated fats, coating meat products, etc. (Danilović et al., 2021; Jokanović et al., 2020; Novakovic et al., 2020; Novakovic et al., 2020; Sojic et al., 2022; Sojic et al., 2020; Stajić et al., 2022; Stajić et al., 2020; Šojić, Pavlić, et al., 2020; Šojić et al., 2020; Šojić et al., 2021; Tomović et al., 2020; Tomović et al., 2022).

### 3.2. Safety

Food safety management systems (FSMS) are programs designed to prevent food safety hazards and protect consumers from adverse health effects. FSMS also helps food businesses comply with international standards and regulations, such as IFS, ISO 22000, and the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP). Ensuring due diligence is one of the primary importance of FSMS. Not all food processing companies meet the required regulations and standards set by law, and FSMS ensures that food processing companies take necessary measures to prevent food safety hazards from occurring. The most important of FSMS is that it ensures the production and service of safe food to consumers, protecting them from foodborne illnesses and related injuries. Therefore, food safety management systems are crucial in protecting public health, ensuring compliance with regulations and standards, and maintaining consumer confidence in the food industry.

Out of 77 Serbian meat producers who participated in the survey, 93.5% claimed to have a fully operational and certified HACCP system in place. In contrast, 6.5% implemented HACCP without third-party certification (Tomašević et al., 2013). ISO 22000 was implemented and certified in 9.1% of the companies, and only 1.3% had implemented and certified the IFS standard. The primary motivation for implementing food safety management systems among Serbian meat producers was to increase and improve the safety and quality of meat products. The initial set-up costs, including investment in new equipment, civil work in the plant, and the redesign of production facilities, were the most significant expenses. According to the results, the main difficulty during HACCP implementation and operation was financial, with companies unable to recoup the costs associated with implementing and operating

the HACCP system. The most significant identified benefit was the increased safety of food products, with a mean rank score of 6.45. The increased quality of food products and improved working discipline of staff in food processing were also significant benefits of implementing and operating HACCP in the Serbian meat industry. Overall, the study suggests that HACCP implementation, whether as a standalone food safety system or as part of ISO 22000, is high in Serbia and provides widespread and significant benefits to the meat industry (Tomašević et al., 2013).

Over a span of 10 years, a total of 7351 meat preparations and freshly processed meat products were examined from 555 different Serbian meat producers, with 4.5 years prior and 5.5 years after the obligatory implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP). The results demonstrated that implementing HACCP has improved compliance with legal regulations. Before HACCP implementation, 18.6% of the samples did not comply with legal requirements, which decreased to 8.3% following the implementation of HACCP. The average sulfite concentrations in all meat preparations and freshly processed meat products decreased by 43%, from 33.6 to 19.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The misuse and abuse of sulfites were common regardless of the season. HACCP implementation in the Serbian meat industry brought attention to the misuse of sulfites, leading to better control and minimization of sulfite exposure (Tomasevic et al., 2018). Also, over thirteen years, 20,106 cured meat product samples were examined from 268 different Serbian meat processing plants, covering 7.5 years before and 5.5 years after mandatory HACCP implementation. The findings demonstrate that the compulsory introduction of HACCP had a significant positive impact on the use and regulation of nitrites in the Serbian meat industry. All cured meat products' average residual nitrite levels fell by 30.65%. In addition, the period following mandatory HACCP implementation saw a decrease of 52% in products with residual nitrite levels above 80 mg/kg. Although the proportion of non-compliant samples (0.19%) remained unchanged, the use and control of nitrites in the Serbian meat industry increased due to the application of HACCP principles, resulting in reduced exposure. However, further improvements are necessary to reach the standards observed in cured meat products from more advanced meat industries (Tomasevic et al., 2017).

Over seven years, we collected 48,246 microbiological test results from 130 meat processing plants and 220 meat retail facilities. This data was collect-

ed 41 months before and 43 months after implementing mandatory HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points). Our findings demonstrate a significant improvement in process hygiene indicators in meat establishments due to implementing HACCP. We observed decreased hygiene indicator organisms on all surfaces and meat establishments. The improvement in process hygiene was evident with at least a 1.0 log<sub>10</sub>CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction in aerobic colony counts for food contact surfaces and over two log<sub>10</sub>CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> reduction for cooling facilities such as refrigerators, freezers and other meat cooling devices. Although the improvement in hand hygiene of meat handlers was less evident, there was a steady decline of positive Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus samples after the mandatory HACCP implementation. The improvement in process hygiene for meat processing plants and retail meat facilities was similar (Tomasevic *et al.*, 2016).

We also assessed the food safety knowledge of meat handlers at various stages of the meat chain in Serbian meat establishments, including slaughterhouses, meat processing plants, and retail stores. A self-administered questionnaire was used to evaluate the food safety knowledge of 352 meat handlers, with 31% (110) from slaughterhouses, 36% (125) from meat processing plants, and 33% (116) from retail stores. The questionnaire was structured and consisted of questions measuring the knowledge level among the handlers. The findings indicated that the average knowledge score for all participants was 64%. Handlers from slaughterhouses and meat processing plants obtained significantly better scores (65% and 66%, respectively) than handlers from retail stores (60%). The knowledge score among meat handlers was associated with age, education, and previous food safety training. Moreover, the results revealed that 57.9% of meat handlers knew that bacteria multiply rapidly at 25°C. Still, only 5.5% knew that food contaminated with food poisoning bacteria could not be recognized by visual, olfactory, or taste checks (Smigic *et al.*, 2016).

Finally, all Serbian meat-producing companies claimed that meat safety was not compromised during the Covid-19 pandemic. However, less than half of the food companies had documented any emergency plans related to pandemics or health issues. Staff awareness and hygiene emerged as the most crucial factors in combating Covid-19, whereas temperature checks and World Health Organization health protocols were deemed less important. Companies reported implementing more stringent

hygiene protocols and increasing their purchase of personal protective equipment during the pandemic. Retailers were identified as the most affected link in the food supply chain, while food storage facilities were the least affected (Tomasevic *et al.*, 2020).

### 3.3. Welfare

As global meat production and market growth (FAO, 2022) and intensive farming systems increase, the impact of/on livestock is a worldwide concern on various issues such as the environment, animal welfare and protection, carcass/meat quality, meat price, and consumption (Karabasil *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2023). Consumers' awareness about the livestock impact depends on the context – is it low/middle or high-income countries (Liu *et al.*, 2023; Parlasca & Qaim, 2022; Salmon *et al.*, 2020). In law- and middle-income countries, livestock is often perceived positively as it provides food and essential nutrients (Salmon *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand, in developed countries, there is a continuous increase in meat production and consumption and awareness of animal welfare and protection (Parlasca & Qaim, 2022). Welfare is a growing issue of concern worldwide. It is one of the criteria used to decide whether a system is sustainable because the general public will not accept systems that cause poor welfare and the absence of animal protection (Broom, 2011). Along the meat chain, in the pre-slaughter and slaughter phases, many activities lead to stress reactions depending on the species, breed, sex, and age of the animal (Karabasil *et al.*, 2019). Factors that compromise animal well-being and influence animal homeostasis include exposure to unfavorable environmental conditions (farm, market, transport, lairage), improper handling and social mixing, food and water deprivation, and slaughter (Cappelozza & Marques, 2021). All these factors (psychological, physical, or physiological) vary in duration (acute, intermittent, or chronic), leading to the stress response and having the potential to disrupt homeostasis and the animal's capability to cope and adapt to the stressors (Nielsen *et al.*, 2020). Stress is an inevitable consequence of livestock production (Kumar *et al.*, 2022), and the key goal is to minimise animals' negative experiences towards “a life worthy of living” (Mellor, 2016) so that the housing and management systems result in good welfare (Broom, 2021). Nowadays, consumers believe that only such systems can provide products of good quality where the welfare of the farm animals is appropriate (Broom,

2021; Kumar et al., 2022). So there is a challenge for the meat sector and stakeholders in preslaughter stress mitigation, ensuring good animal welfare, and producing good quality carcass/meat (Kumar et al., 2022). Therefore, evaluating the stress in livestock through the appropriate indicators and eliminating factors that cause stress-induced responses (Kumar et al., 2022). The important issue is how these situations influence products and their acceptability, as poor welfare led to some important defects such as the death of animals, body weight loss, carcass lesions and composition, and meat quality defects, i.e., pale, soft, exudative (PSE) and dark, firm, dry (DFD) meats (Čobanović et al., 2019; Čobanović et al., 2021; Čobanović et al., 2023; Karabasil et al., 2019; Karabasil et al., 2017; Urrea et al., 2021; Vicic et al., 2021; Zappaterra et al., 2022). According to (Čobanović et al., 2023), carcass lesions (severe, moderate or low) are connected with alterations in blood measurements in slaughtered pigs and compromised animal welfare, affected by both animal characteristics and pre-slaughter conditions. As pointed out by the same authors, the presence of carcass lesions, severe or moderate, led to the alterations in meat quality with the higher occurrence of DFD or PSE meat and consequently to economic and financial losses. Good communication between stakeholders (slaughterhouses, transporters, and farmers), providing feedback of information among others, e.g., results of carcass lesions in slaughtered pigs from abattoir to previous steps in the meat chain could contribute to identifying critical points and eliminating negative factors with improved animal welfare in each phase (Čobanović et al., 2021; Čobanović et al., 2023; Karabasil et al., 2017). The application of regular, educational and training programs (animal behaviour, good management practice, standard operating procedure) to train operators (on the farm, during transport, at slaughterhouse) for prudent management of livestock is of great importance for good practice and proper handling (Čobanović et al., 2023; Kjosevski et al., 2021; Zappaterra et al., 2022). Good practice should be embodied in appropriate standards and national regulations that are enforced in consistent science-based knowledge and information, cost-effective and applicable, competent and well-trained personnel for livestock husbandry and management, to apply high animal welfare and protection standards and to fulfill public expectations (de Witte, 2009; Sundermann et al., 2023). Transport and slaughter of animals is an unavoidable process step in meat produc-

tion. National regulations and standards in animal welfare and protection are updated in continuity to meet consumer and public expectations. Official controls of Food business operators (FBOs) are in charge of providing clear evidence and confirmation that animals are spared any pain/distress/suffering during the transport, killing, and related operations (Sundermann et al., 2023). FBOs know that a successful manufacturer has an attitude “as an industry that cares,” and consumer trust is the foundation.

### 3.4. Environment

It has been scientifically confirmed that meat production has a severe environmental impact and is identified as a leading polluter among food sectors (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2016). Although livestock farms are pointed as the most significant contributors to environmental pollution, other actors in the meat chain continuum also have their eco-roles. Considerable interference with natural spheres — atmosphere, lithosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere, is mainly observed at the farm level through exploiting and polluting natural resources (Röös et al., 2013). Environmental impacts occurring in the following supply chain stages – slaughterhouses and meat processing plants are not as high and dominant but may not be neglected and are the focus of the academia (Djekic et al., 2016). The Serbian meat industry has been analyzed from two perspectives, as depicted by Djekic & Tomasevic (2016) – in terms of life-cycle assessment (LCA) of meat and meat products and based on various environmental practices occurring at different actors of the value chain – livestock farms, slaughterhouses, and meat processors.

Life cycle assessment is a scientifically validated method outlined in ISO 14040 (ISO, 2006) covering the goals and scope of the LCA, inventory analysis of all inputs, processes, and outputs, impact assessment for selected environmental indicators, and interpretation of the results. Analysis of meat products from a “farm to fork” perspective shows that it consists of five main subsystems – farms, slaughterhouses, meat processing plants, retailers, and consumers (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2016). When it comes to environmental impacts associated with meat LCA, four of them prevail (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2018). Global warming potential (GWP) measures the emission of greenhouse gasses, represents the damage level expressed in kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (IPCC, 2013), and is mainly linked with enteric fermentation and manure management on farms as well as feed pro-

duction (Gerber *et al.*, 2015). However, it's important to emphasize that besides the impact of meat production on climate change, there is a vice versa effect of climate change on meat production, mainly at farms through increased depletion of resources for combating heat stress of animals, biodiversity loss, and shifting towards sustainable agriculture associated with feed production (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2020). Acidification potential analyzes the impact of various acidifying substances on the ecosystem (soil, water, flora, and fauna) expressed as kg SO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (Čuček *et al.*, 2015). This is mainly associated with nitrates and ammonia from manures (Dalgaard *et al.*, 2007). Eutrophication potential calculates excessive levels of macronutrients (from livestock feed production) in air, water, and soil, expressed as kg PO<sub>4</sub> equivalents (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2018). Finally, ozone depletion potential computes the destructive effects of halogenated hydrocarbons (used in meat cold chains – both refrigeration and deep freezing). It is determined in association with R-11 equivalent (Hischier *et al.*, 2010). Inadequate temperatures in the cold meat supply chains cause an increase in the biological activity of microorganisms and thus increase their growth, causing unsafe meat (Ren *et al.*, 2022). Current logistics innovations in the food sector are shifted towards developing low-carbon and eco-friendly supply chains (Han *et al.*, 2021). LCA study of Serbian pork farms at the farm gate (expressed in kg of livestock weight as a functional unit — FU) shows that this meat sector contributes to the emission of 3.50 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e / FU, 31.257 g SO<sub>2</sub>e / FU, 55.030 g PO<sub>4</sub>e / FU and 0.151 mg R11e / FU (Djekic *et al.*, 2021). The primary indicator of a studied life cycle is a FU, as it represents a benchmark point for comparing different types of food. Its appropriate choice is paramount (Djekic *et al.*, 2019). In meat science, three functional units occur – one kg of livestock (at farms), one kg of the carcass (at slaughterhouses), and one kg of meat/meat products (stages from meat processing plants to consumers) (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2016). From the perspective of consumers, a look at the other side of the coin was performed by analyzing pork meat dietary habits in Serbia based on reported weekly consumption. Results show that an average Serbian meat consumer contributes to 4.032 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e / week, 35.972 g SO<sub>2</sub>e / week, 63.466 g PO<sub>4</sub>e / week, and 0.17435 mg R11e / week (Djekic *et al.*, 2021).

The survey that covered 16 slaughterhouses and 14 meat processing plants participating with more than half of national Serbian meat production shows

that environmental practices (energy and water consumption, waste management, and wastewater discharge) are implemented on a higher level in large companies, in slaughterhouses and in companies with implemented environmental management system (Djekic *et al.*, 2016). Water is used throughout all meat production steps, from feeding animals to slaughtering and meat processing (Kupusovic *et al.*, 2007). Energy is needed mainly for meat processing and maintaining the meat cold chain (IPPC, 2006). Wastewater is rich in various pollutants, both organic (blood, fat, manure, undigested stomach contents, meat, and meat extracts) (Djekic & Tomasevic, 2016) and inorganic from cleaning chemicals needed for good hygiene practices (CAC, 2020). Aleksić *et al.* (2020) performed an interesting study on water consumption and wastewater characteristics of the Serbian meat slaughtering industry. Their study covered 41 slaughterhouses, revealing that more than half have no wastewater treatment and that wastewater quality in some of the observed objects exceeded prescribed legal limits for chemical and biochemical oxygen demands mainly due to the presence of organic matter such as blood, tallow, and mucosa. The freshwater consumption varied depending on the type and size of the slaughterhouse, but the highest need was associated with good hygiene.

#### 4. Conclusion

Meat safety is an important issue in Serbia, as in any country. The Serbian government has implemented several measures to ensure the safety of meat products, including regular inspections of slaughterhouses and meat processing facilities. The country has also adopted EU regulations on meat safety, meaning that all meat products must meet strict hygiene, storage, and labeling standards. Consumers in Serbia are advised to purchase meat products only from reputable sources and to ensure that the meat is properly cooked before consumption. Additionally, individuals are encouraged to practice good hygiene when handling meat products, such as washing their hands thoroughly before and after handling raw meat. While meat safety is a reasonable concern in Serbia, the government, producers, and consumers are taking steps to mitigate the risks associated with consuming meat products. The current situation and prospects for the meat processing industry in Serbia are based mainly on the development of new healthier products ('clean label') with altered and novel formulations and on the development of new pack-

aging films. The welfare of slaughtering animals in Serbia has been a concern among animal rights activists and organizations. While there are regulations to ensure the humane treatment of animals during the slaughtering process, enforcing these regulations has been criticized as insufficient.

In some cases, animals may be subjected to overcrowding and rough handling before being slaughtered, which can cause distress and pain. Additionally, there have been reports of illegal slaughtering practices that further compromise the welfare of animals. Efforts are being made to improve

the situation through education and enforcement, but there is still much work to be done to ensure the humane treatment of animals in Serbia. Regardless of the research perspective (meat-based or meat company-based), it is evident that the entire Serbian meat sector has severe pressure on natural resources (mainly water and energy), pollutes the environment by discharging wastewater, and influences climate change concerning global warming, acidification, and eutrophication potentials. Future research should focus on finding solutions how to decrease the environmental burden of the meat value chain.

**Disclosure statement:** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## References

- Aleksić, N., Nešović, A., Šušteršič, V., Gordić, D. & Milovanović, D. (2020). Slaughterhouse water consumption and wastewater characteristics in the meat processing industry in Serbia. *Desalin Water Treat*, 190, 98–112.
- Baltic, M. Z., Janjic, J., Popovic, M., Baltic, T., Jankovic, V., Starcevic, M. & Sarcevic, D. (2018). Meat in traditional Serbian cuisine. *Meat Technology*, 59(1), 54–62.
- Bogdanović, S., Stanković, S., Berić, T., Tomasevic, I., Heinz, V., Terjung, N. & Dimkić, I. (2023). Bacteriobiota and Chemical Changes during the Ripening of Traditional Fermented “Pirov ‘Ironged’ Sausage”. *Foods*, 12(3), 664, doi.org/10.3390/foods12030664
- Broom, D. (2011). A history of animal welfare science. *Acta Bi-theoretica*, 59, 121–137.
- Broom, D. (2021). Farm animal welfare: A key component of the sustainability of farming systems. *Veterinarski Glasnik*, 75(2), 145–151.
- CAC, (2020). CXC-1 1969, Rev. 5–2020 General principles of food hygiene *Codex Alimentarius Commission — International Food Standards*. Rome. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization.
- Cappellozza, B. I. & Marques, R. S. (2021). Effects of Pre-Slaughter Stress on Meat Characteristics and Consumer Experience. *Meat and Nutrition*: IntechOpen doi: 10.5772/intechopen.96742
- Čobanović, N., Janković, L., Vasilev, D., Dimitrijević, M., Teodorović, V., Kureljušić, B. & Karabasil, N. (2019). Slaughterline records of various postmortem pathological lesions and their influence on carcass and meat quality in slaughtered pigs. *Animal Science Journal*, 90(11), 1475–1483.
- Čobanović, N., Novaković, S., Tomašević, I. & Karabasil, N. (2021). Combined effects of weather conditions, transportation time and loading density on carcass damages and meat quality of market-weight pigs. *Archives Animal Breeding*, 64(2), 425–435.
- Čobanović, N., Suvajdžić, B., Vičić, I., Vasilev, D. & Karabasil, N. (2023). Prevalence of carcass lesions and their effects on welfare, carcass composition and meat quality in slaughtered pigs. *Annals of Animal Science*, 23(2), 597–609.
- Čuček, L., Klemeš, J. J. & Kravanja, Z. (2015). Overview of environmental footprints *Assessing and Measuring Environmental Impact and Sustainability*, pp. 13H93: Elsevier.
- Dalgaard, R., Halberg, N. & Hermansen, J. E. (2007). Danish pork production — An environmental assessment *DJF Animal Science*, 82, University of Aarhus — Faculty of Agricultural Sciences.
- Danilović, B., Đorđević, N., Milićević, B., Šojić, B., Pavlič, B., Tomović, V. & Savić, D. (2021). Application of sage herbal dust essential oils and supercritical fluid extract for the growth control of *Escherichia coli* in minced pork during storage. *LWT*, 141, 110935.
- de Witte, K. (2009). Development of the Australian Animal Welfare standards and guidelines for the land transport of livestock: Process and philosophical considerations. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior*, 4(4), 148–156.
- Djekic, I. & Tomašević, I. (2017). *Environmental footprints in the meat chain*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 85 012015, doi :10.1088/1755-1315/85/1/012015
- Djekic, I., Blagojevic, B., Antic, D., Cegar, S., Tomasevic, I. & Smigic, N. (2016). Assessment of environmental practices in Serbian meat companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, Part 4, 2495–2504.
- Djekic, I., Blagojevic, B., Antic, D., Cegar, S., Tomasevic, I. & Smigic, N. (2016). Assessment of environmental practices in Serbian meat companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 2495–2504.
- Djekic, I., Bozickovic, I., Djordjevic, V., Smetana, S., Terjung, N., Ilic, J., A. Dorski & Tomasevic, I. (2021). Can we associate environmental footprints with production and consumption using Monte Carlo simulation? Case study with pork meat. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 101(3), 960–969.
- Djekic, I., Pojić, M., Tonda, A., Putnik, P., Bursać Kovačević, D., Režek-Jambrak, A. & Tomasevic, I. (2019). Scientific Challenges in Performing Life-Cycle Assessment in the Food Supply Chain. *Foods*, 8(8), 301, doi.org/10.3390/foods8080301



- Djekic, I. & Tomasevic, I. (2016).** Environmental impacts of the meat chain — Current status and future perspectives. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 54, 94–102.
- Djekic, I. & Tomasevic, I. (2018).** Chapter 3 — Environmental Indicators in the Meat Chain In S. S. Muthu (Ed.), *Quantification of Sustainability Indicators in the Food Sector* (1<sup>st</sup> ed., pp. 55–82). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Djekic, I. & Tomasevic, I. (2020).** Impact of Animal Origin Food Production on Climate Change and Vice Versa: Analysis from a Meat and Dairy Products Perspective. In W. Leal Filho, J. Luetz & D. Ayal (Eds.), *Handbook of Climate Change Management: Research, Leadership, Transformation* (pp. 1–16). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Dokmanović, M., Velarde, A., Tomović, V., Glamočlija, N., Marković, R., Janjić, J. & Baltić, M. (2014).** The effects of lairage time and handling procedure prior to slaughter on stress and meat quality parameters in pigs. *Meat Science*, 98(2), 220–226.
- FAO, (2019).** Food Outlook—biannual report on global food markets. Rome, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO, (2022).** Food Outlook—Biannual report on global food markets. Rome: FAO, June 2020.
- Gerber, P. J., Mottet, A., Opio, C. I., Falcucci, A. & Teillard, F. (2015).** Environmental impacts of beef production: Review of challenges and perspectives for durability. *Meat Science*, 109, 2–12.
- Han, J.-W., Zuo, M., Zhu, W.-Y., Zuo, J.-H., Lü, E.-L. & Yang, X.-T. (2021).** A comprehensive review of cold chain logistics for fresh agricultural products: Current status, challenges, and future trends. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 109, 536–551.
- Hischier, R., Weidema, B., Althaus, H.-J., Bauer, C., Doka, G., Dones, R., Frischknecht, R., Hellwig, S., Humbert, S., Jungbluth, N., Köllner, T., Loerincik, Y., Margni, M. & Nemecek T., (2010).** Implementation of life cycle impact assessment methods. *Final report ecoinvent v2, 2*.
- Ikonić, P., Jokanović, M., Čučević, N., Peulić, T., Šarić, L., Tomičić, Z. & Tomašević, I. (2023).** Effect of different ripening conditions on amino acids and biogenic amines evolution in Sjenički sudžuk. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 115, doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2022.105009
- IPCC, (2013).** Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), *Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*: Cambridge University Press Cambridge.
- IPPC, (2006).** Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Food, Drink and Milk Industries. Seville, Spain: European Commission.
- ISO, (2006).** ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management — Life cycle assessment — Principles and framework. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- Jokanović, M., Ivić, M., Škaljac, S., Tomović, V., Pavlić, B., Šojić, B. & Ikonić, P. (2020).** Essential oil and supercritical extracts of winter savory (*Satureja montana* L.) as antioxidants in precooked pork chops during chilled storage. *LWT*, 134, 110260.
- Karabasil, N., Boskovic, T., Vivic, I., Cobanović, N., Dimitrijević, M. & Teodorovic, V. (2019).** *Meat quality: Impact of various pre-slaughter conditions*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 333(1), 012033, DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/333/1/012033
- Karabasil, N., Bošković, T., Tomašević, I., Vasilev, D., Dimitrijević, M., Katanić, N. & Antić, D. (2018).** Production of traditional meat products in small and micro establishments in Serbia: Current status and future perspectives. *Acta Veterinaria*, 68(4), 373–390.
- Karabasil, N., Čobanović, N., Vučićević, I., Stajković, S., Becskei, Z., Forgách, P. & Aleksić-Kovačević, S. (2017).** Association of the severity of lung lesions with carcass and meat quality in slaughter pigs. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 65(3), 354–365.
- Kjosevski, M., Nikolovski, M., Ilievska, K., Pendovski, L. & Ilieski, V. (2021).** The three pillars of applied farm animal welfare. *Veterinarski Glasnik*, 75(2), 99–111.
- Kučević, D., Papović, T., Tomović, V., Plavšić, M., Jajić, I., Krstović, S. & Stanojević, D. (2019).** Influence of Farm Management for Calves on Growth Performance and Meat Quality Traits Duration Fattening of Simmental Bulls and Heifers. *Animals (Basel)*, 9(11), 941, doi.org/10.3390/ani9110941
- Kumar, P., Abubakar, A. A., Verma, A. K., Umaraw, P., Adewale Ahmed, M., Mehta, N., Hayat, M. N., Kaka, U. & Sazili, A. Q. (2022).** New insights in improving sustainability in meat production: opportunities and challenges. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1–29, doi: 10.1080/10408398.2022.2096562
- Kumar, P., Ahmed, M. A., Abubakar, A. A., Hayat, M. N., Kaka, U., Ajat, M., Goh, Y. M. & Sazili, A. Q. (2022).** Improving animal welfare status and meat quality through assessment of stress biomarkers: A critical review. *Meat Science*, 109048, doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.109048
- Kupusovic, T., Midzic, S., Silajdzic, I. & Bjelavac, J. (2007).** Cleaner production measures in small-scale slaughterhouse industry – case study in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 15(4), 378–383.
- Leskovec, J., Levart, A., Perić, L., Đukić Stojčić, M., Tomović, V., Pirman, T., Salobir, J. & Rezar, V. (2019).** Antioxidative effects of supplementing linseed oil-enriched diets with  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, ascorbic acid, selenium, or their combination on carcass and meat quality in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 98(12), 6733–6741.
- Liu, J., Chriki, S., Kombolo, M., Santinello, M., Pflanzler, S. B., Hocquette, É., Ellies-Oury, M.-P. & Hocquette, J. F. (2023).** Consumer perception of the challenges facing livestock production and meat consumption. *Meat Science*, 200, 109144, doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2023.109144
- Mellor, D. J. (2016).** Updating animal welfare thinking: Moving beyond the “Five Freedoms” towards “a Life Worth Living”. *Animals*, 6(3), 21.
- Mijatović, E. L. (2008).** *Serbian folk-lore: Popular Tales*: Izbister.
- Mitić, V., Kilibarda, N., Brdar, I., Kostic, M., Sarcevic, D., Karabasil, N. & Mizdrakovic, V. (2018).** Measuring competitiveness in the meat industry market: Are there any oligopolies in Serbia? *Meat Technology*, 59(2), 127–136, doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2018.59.2.8

- Nielsen, S. S., Alvarez, J., Bicout, D. J., Calistri, P., Depner, K., Drewe, J. A., Garin-Bastuji, B., Rojas, J. L. G., Schmidt C. G., Michael, V., Chueca, M. A. M., Roberts, H. C., Sihvonen L. H., Spoolder, H., Stahl, K., Verlade, A., Viltrop, A., Candiani, d., Van der Stede, Y. & Schmidt, C. G. (2020). Welfare of cattle at slaughter. *EFSA journal*, 18(11), e06275, doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6275
- Nikolić, S. R., Vuković, P. & Grujić, B. (2017). Measures to support the development of organic farming in the EU and Serbia. *Ekonomika Poljoprivrede*, 64 (1), 323–337.
- Novakovic, S., Djekic, I., Klaus, A., Vunduk, J., Dordevic, V. & Tomovic, V. (2020). The potential of horn of plenty mushroom (*Craterellus cornucopioides*). *Fleischwirtschaft International: Journal for Meat Production and Meat Processing*, (4), 57–63.
- Novakovic, S., Djekic, I., Klaus, A., Vunduk, J., Dordević, V., Tomovic, V., Kocic-Tanackov, S., Lorenzo J. M. & Tomasevic, I. (2020). Application of porcini mushroom (*Boletus edulis*) to improve the quality of frankfurters. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 44(8), e14556, DOI: 10.1111/jfpp.14556
- Parlasca, M. C. & Qaim, M. (2022). Meat consumption and sustainability. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 14, 17–41.
- Perić, L., Žikić, D., Stojčić, M. Đ., Tomović, V., Leskovec, J., Levart, A., Salobir, J., Kanački, Z. & Rezar, V. (2022). Effect of Chestnut Tannins and Vitamin E Supplementation to Linseed Oil-Enriched Diets on Growth Performance, Meat Quality, and Intestinal Morphology of Broiler Chickens. *Agriculture*, 12(11), 1772, doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12111772
- Popović, S., Puvača, N., Peulić, T., Ikonić, P., Spasevski, N., Kostadinović, L. & Đuragić, O. (2019). The usefulness of dietary essential oils mixture supplementation on quality aspect of poultry meat. *Journal of Agronomy, Technology and Engineering Management*, 2(6), 335–343.
- Ren, Q.-S., Fang, K., Yang, X.-T. & Han, J.-W. (2022). Ensuring the quality of meat in cold chain logistics: A comprehensive review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 119, 133–151.
- Röös, E., Sundberg, C., Tidåker, P., Strid, I. & Hansson, P.-A. (2013). Can carbon footprint serve as an indicator of the environmental impact of meat production? *Ecological Indicators*, 24, 573–581.
- Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on food additives. Official Journal of the European Union, L 354, 16–33.
- Serbian Regulation, (1974). Pravilnik o kvalitetu mesa stoke za klanje, peradi i divljači. Službeni list SFRJ, broj 74; Rulebook on slaughter livestock, poultry and game. Official Gazette of the SFRJ, No 34.
- Serbian Regulation, (1981). Pravilnik o kvalitetu mesa pernate živine. Službeni list SFRJ, broj 1; Rulebook on quality of poultry meat. Official Gazette of the SFRJ, No 1.
- Serbian Regulation, (1985). Pravilnik o kvalitetu zaklanih svinja i kategorizaciji svinjskog mesa. Službeni list SFRJ, broj 2; Rulebook on quality of slaughtered pigs and pork categorization. Official Gazette of the SFRJ, No 2.
- Serbian Regulation, (2018). Pravilnik o prehrambenim aditivima. Službeni glasnik Republike Srbije, broj 53; Rulebook on food additives. Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No 53.
- Serbian Regulation, (2019). Pravilnik o kvalitetu usitnjelog mesa, poluproizvoda od mesa i proizvoda od mesa. Službeni glasnik Republike Srbije, broj 50; Rulebook on quality of minced meat, semi-finished meat products and meat products. Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No 50.
- Salmon, G. R., MacLeod, M., Claxton, J., Ciamarra, U. P., Robinson, T., Duncan, A. & Peters, A. (2020). Exploring the landscape of livestock ‘Facts’. *Global Food Security*, 25, 100329.
- Skunca, D., Tomasevic, I., Nastasijevic, I., Tomovic, V. & Djekic, I. (2018). Life cycle assessment of the chicken meat chain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 184, 440–450.
- Smigic, N., Antic, D., Blagojevic, B., Tomasevic, I. & Djekic, I. (2016). The level of food safety knowledge among meat handlers. *British Food Journal*, 118(1), 9–25.
- Sojic, B., Pavlic, B., Tomovic, V., Danilovic, B., Loncarevic, I., Petrovic, J. & Skaljac, S. (2022). The effect of wild thyme extracts on quality and shelf-life of cooked sausages Possibilities for the application of thyme extract as an antioxidant in sausage manufacturing. *Fleischwirtschaft*, 102(5), 82–87.
- Sojic, B., Pavlic, B., Tomovic, V., Kocic-Tanackov, S., Zekovic, Z., Skaljac, S. & Jokanovic, M. (2020). Supercritical fluid extract of winter savory. *Fleischwirtschaft*, 100(11), 92–95.
- Stajić, S., Tomasević, I., Tomović, V. & Stanišić, N. (2022). Dietary fibre as phosphate replacement in all-beef model system emulsions with reduced content of sodium chloride. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research*, 61, 277–285.
- Stajić, S., Tomašević, I., Stanišić, N., Tomović, V., Lilić, S., Vranić, D. & Živković, D. (2020). Quality of dry-fermented sausages with backfat replacement. *Fleischwirtschaft*, 100(7), 74–81.
- Sundermann, T., Bibbal, D., Holleville, N. & Salines, M. (2023). Moving from routine to risk-based official controls in slaughterhouses: Development of a scoring tool for the risk of non-compliance with animal welfare regulations. *Food Control*, 143, 109321.
- Šojić, B., Pavlić, B., Tomović, V., Kocić-Tanackov, S., Đurović, S., Zeković, Z., Belović, M., Torbica, A., Jokanović, M., Urumović, N., Vujadinović, D., Ivić, M. & Škaljac, S. (2020). Tomato pomace extract and organic peppermint essential oil as effective sodium nitrite replacement in cooked pork sausages. *Food Chemistry*, 330, 127202.
- Šojić, B., Tomović, V., Kocić-Tanackov, S., Kovačević, D. B., Putnik, P., Mrkonjić, Ž., Đurović, S., Jokanović, M., Ivić, M., Škaljac, S. & Pavlić, V. (2020). Supercritical extracts of wild thyme (*Thymus serpyllum* L.) by-product as natural antioxidants in ground pork patties. *LWT*, 130, 109661, doi.org/j.lwt.2020.109661
- Šojić, B., Tomović, V., Savanović, J., Kocić-Tanackov, S., Pavlić, B., Jokanović, M., Milidrag, A., Martinović, A., Vujadinović, D. & Vukić, M. (2021). Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) essential oil as a potential replacement for sodium nitrite in dry fermented sausages. *Processes*, 9(3), 424, doi.org/10.3390/pr9030424
- Tomasevic, I., Dodevska, M., Simić, M., Raicevic, S., Matovic, V. & Djekic, I. (2017). The use and control of nitrites in Serbian meat industry and the influence of mandatory HACCP implementation. *Meat Science*, 134, 76–78.

- Tomasevic, I., Dodevska, M., Simić, M., Raicevic, S., Matovic, V. & Djekic, I. (2018). A decade of sulphite control in Serbian meat industry and the effect of HACCP. *Food Additives and Contaminants: Part B Surveillance*, 11(1), 49–53.
- Tomasevic, I., Kovačević, D. B., Jambrak, A. R., Szendrő, K., Dalle Zotte, A., Prodanov, M., Solowiej, B., Subić, A., Roljević, J., Semenova, S., Kročko, A., Duckova, M., Getya, V., Kravchenko O. A. & Djekic, I. (2020). Validation of novel food safety climate components and assessment of their indicators in Central and Eastern European food industry. *Food Control*, 117.
- Tomasevic, I., Kuzmanović, J., Anđelković, A., Saračević, M., Stojanović, M. M. & Djekic, I. (2016). The effects of mandatory HACCP implementation on microbiological indicators of process hygiene in meat processing and retail establishments in Serbia. *Meat Science*, 114, 54–57.
- Tomašević, I., Šmigić, N., Dekić, I., Zarić, V., Tomić, N. & Rajković, A. (2013). Serbian meat industry: A survey on food safety management systems implementation. *Food Control*, 32(1), 25–30.
- Tomić, N., Tomašević, I., Radovanović, R. & Rajković, A. (2008). “Uzice beef prshuta”: influence of different salting processes on sensory properties. *Journal of Muscle Foods*, 19(3), 237–246.
- Tomovic, V. M., Zlender, B. A., Jokanović, M. R., Tomovic, M. S., Sojic, B. V., Škaljac, S. B. & Hromis, N. M. (2014). Technological quality and composition of the M. semimembranosus and M. longissimus dorsi from Large White and Landrace Pigs. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 23(1), 9–18.
- Tomović, V., Šojić, B., Savanović, J., Kocić-Tanackov, S., Pavlič, B., Jokanović, M., Đorđević, V., Parunović, N., Martinović, A. & Vujadinović, D. (2020). New formulation towards healthier meat products: Juniperus communis L. essential oil as alternative for sodium nitrite in dry fermented sausages. *Foods*, 9(8), 1066.
- Tomović, V., Šojić, B., Savanović, J., Kocić-Tanackov, S., Pavlič, B., Jokanović, M., Đorđević, V., Parunović, N., Martinović, A. & Vujadinović, D. (2022). Caraway (*Carum carvi* L.) essential oil improves quality of dry-fermented sausages produced with different levels of sodium nitrite. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 46(10), e15786.
- Tomović, V. M., Jokanović, M. R., Petrović, L. S., Tomović, M. S., Tasić, T. A., Ikonić, P. M., Šumić, M. Z., Škaljac S. B. & Šošo, M. M. (2013). Sensory, physical and chemical characteristics of cooked ham manufactured from rapidly chilled and earlier deboned M. semimembranosus. *Meat Science*, 93(1), 46–52.
- Tomović, V. M., Jokanović, M. R., Švarc-Gajić, J. V., Vasiljević, I. M., Šojić, B. V., Škaljac, S. B., Pihler, I., Simin, V., Krajinović, M. & Žujović, M. M. (2016). Physical characteristics and proximate and mineral composition of Saanen goat male kids meat from Vojvodina (Northern Serbia) as influenced by muscle. *Small Ruminant Research*, 145, 44–52.
- Tomović, V. M., Petrović, L. S. & Džinić, N. R. (2008). Effects of rapid chilling of carcasses and time of deboning on weight loss and technological quality of pork semi-membranosus muscle. *Meat Science*, 80(4), 1188–1193.
- Tomović, V. M., Petrović, L. S., Tomović, M. S., Kevrešan, Ž. S. & Džinić, N. R. (2011). Determination of mineral contents of semimembranosus muscle and liver from pure and crossbred pigs in Vojvodina (northern Serbia). *Food Chemistry*, 124(1), 342–348.
- Trbovic, D., Petronijević, R., Lakicevic, B., Nikolic, A., Bajcic, A., Sefer, M. & Parunovic, N. (2021). *Traditional meat preparations in the Balkans region*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 854 012100, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/854/1/012100
- Urrea, V. M., Bridi, A. M., Ceballos, M. C., Paranhos da Costa, M. J. & Faucitano, L. (2021). Behavior, blood stress indicators, skin lesions, and meat quality in pigs transported to slaughter at different loading densities. *Journal of Animal Science*, 99(6), 119.
- Vicic, I., Petrovic, M., Stajkovic, S., Cobanović, N. & Karabasil, N. (2021). *Seasonal overview of beef meat quality in a small-scale slaughterhouse*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 854 012103, doi 10.1088/1755-1315/854/1/012103
- Zappaterra, M., Padalino, B., Menchetti, L., Arduini, A., Pace, V. & Nanni Costa, L. (2022). Carcass Lesion Severity and Pre-Slaughter Conditions in Heavy Pigs: A Prospective Study at a Commercial Abattoir in Northern Italy. *Applied Sciences*, 12(3), 1078.
- Živanović Miljković, J. & Crnčević, T. (2019). Multifunctional urban agriculture and agroforestry for sustainable land use planning in the context of climate change in Serbia. *Climate Change-Resilient Agriculture and Agroforestry: Ecosystem Services and Sustainability*, 197–213.