

INDEX

WELCOME MESSAGE	III
COMMITTEES	IV
ECPHM	VI
PHM	VII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF PARTNERS	VIII
SPONSORS	Χ
KEYNOTES PRESENTATIONS	1
ORAL PRESENTATIONS	48
Herd Health Management and Economy (HHM-OP-01 / HHM-OP-09)	49
Resident Session (RES-OP-01 / RES-OP-05)	57
Viral Diseases (VVD-OP-01 / VVD-OP-08)	62
Veterinary Public Health (VPH-OP-01 / VPH-OP-06)	69
Bacterial Diseases (BBD-OP-01 / BBD-OP-10)	75
Welfare and Nutrition (AWN-OP-01 / AWN-OP-08)	85
Miscellaneous (MIS-OP-01 / MIS-OP-02)	93
Immunology and Vaccinology (IMM-OP-01 / IMM-OP-06)	95
Reproduction (REP-OP-01 / REP-OP-05)	101
FLASH TALKS (FTP-OP-01 / FTP-OP-16)	106
POSTERS	123
Herd Health Management and Economy (HHM-PP-01 / HHM-PP-69)	124
Resident Session (RES-PP-01 / RES-PP-06)	192
Viral Diseases (VVD-PP-01 / VVD-PP-66)	198
Veterinary Public Health (VPH-PP-01 / VPH-PP-12)	264
Bacterial Diseases (BBD-PP-01 / BBD-PP-109)	275
Welfare and Nutrition (AWN-PP-01 / AWN-PP-46)	383
Miscellaneous (MIS-PP-01 / MIS-PP-44)	428
Immunology and Vaccinology (IMM-PP-01 / IMM-PP-68)	469
Reproduction (REP-PP-01 / REP-PP-18)	537
INDEX OF AUTHORS	554

VPH-PP-05

POTENTIAL USE OF CLINICAL EXAMINATION AND SEROLOGICAL TESTING TO FORECAST THE 'POSITIVE FARMS' FOR LUNG LESIONS IN SLAUGHTERED PIGS

N. Cobanovic², B. Kureljusic¹, N. Karabasil²

¹Department of Pathology, Scientific Institute of Veterinary Medicine of Serbia

Background and Objectives

This study aimed to determine the potential use of clinical respiratory symptoms recorded on farm during fattening and serological testing for respiratory pathogens to predict the 'positive farms' for lung lesions in slaughtered pigs.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted on 240 pigs originated from eight commercial farms. Two weeks before slaughter, pigs were clinically inspected on each farm for the presence of following symptoms: coughing, sneezing and laboured breathing. Serum samples were collected from pigs at slaughter and analysed for the presence of antibodies against Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae (M. hyopneumoniae), Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae (APP), Swine Influenza Virus (SIV), Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome virus (PRRSV), Porcine Circovirus type-2 (PCV2) and Porcine Respiratory Coronavirus (PRCV). The plucks of 30 slaughtered pigs from each farm were examined for pneumonia (Madec and Kobisch, 1982) and pleurisy (Dottori et al. 2007). Farms were classified as positive for pneumonia when the mean batch pneumonia score was higher than five. For pleurisy a farm was considered to be positive when at least one pig had a pleurisy score higher than two.

Results

No relationship was found between clinical symptoms and serological values and lung lesions using Spearman correlation analysis (correlation coefficients ranged from 0.0078 to 0.2567; P>0.05). According to receiver operating characteristic curves and the area under the curves (AUC), 'positive farms' for lung lesions at postmortem inspection could not be accurately detected (P>0.05) by the clinical symptoms recorded on farm during fattening (pneumonia: AUC_{coughing}=0.625; AUC_{sneezing}=0.625; AUC_{labored breathing}=0.688; pleurisy: AUC_{coughing}=0.567; AUC_{sneezing}=0.667; AUC_{labored breathing}=0.500) and serological values (pneumonia: AUC_{Mhyopneumoniae}=0.625; AUC_{APP}=0.563; AUC_{SIV}=0.656; AUC_{PRRSV}=0.688; AUC_{PCV2}=0.625; AUC_{PRCV}=0.531; pleurisy: AUC_{Mhyopneumoniae}=0.500; AUC_{APP}=0.567; AUC_{SIV}=0.667; AUC_{PRRSV}=0.533; AUC_{PCV2}=0.400; AUC_{PRCV}=0.500).

Discussion and Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that the recording of lung lesions at postmortem inspection is more reliable and feasible method for pig health and welfare monitoring than serological testing and recording of clinical symptoms on farm during fattening.

²Department of Food Hygiene and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Belgrade